

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920
www.beyerlaw.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 04 2005

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

April 4, 2005

Receiver: Examiner COBURN, CORBETT B
Group Art Unit 3714

TEL #: (571)272-4447

FAX # : 703-872-9306

Sender: David Olynick

Our Ref. No.: IGT1P024C1

Re: U.S. Application No. 10/674,664

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 3

MESSAGE:

Please see attached...

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

APR. 4. 2005 2:03PM 5106630920

APR 04 2005

NO. 913 P. 2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Brosnan, *et al.*

Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P024C1/P-247CON

Application No.: 10/674,664

Examiner: Coburn

Filed: September 29, 2003

Group: 3714

Title: PARALLEL GAMES ON A GAMING
DEVICE

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 4, 2005.

Signed: _____

Mia Mitchell-Ribeiro

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tentative Participants:

- | | |
|------------------|----------------|
| 1) David Olynick | 2) Keith Moore |
| 3) | 4) |

Proposed Date of Interview: April 7th Proposed Time: 2 PM

Type of Interview Requested:

☐ Telephone ☒ Personal ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, provide brief description:

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1)102/103	All	Selig	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ARGUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:

Discuss new claim amendments geared towards a gaming system where each gaming machine is operable to display a game outcome generated on another gaming machine in the system at the same time as displaying a locally generated game outcome.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)
Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)